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- **Mandate:**
  - evaluation of the FET-Flagship instrument, and its implementation through the HBP and Graphene Flagships
  - provide recommendations on implementation and governance model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maria Carrozza (Chair)</td>
<td>Member of the Italian Parliament; Professor at Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna, Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte Brogren</td>
<td>Director General VINNOVA, Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruth McKernan</td>
<td>Chief Executive Director of Innovate UK, United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthias Kleiner</td>
<td>President of the Leibniz Association, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michal Kleiber</td>
<td>Vice-President of the European Academy of Sciences and Arts, President of the European Materials Forum, Poland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **One year of investigation** (data collection and KPIs, interviews with stakeholders, comparison with other initiatives, ...)
- **Part of the Horizon 2020 mid-term evaluation**
Five Evaluation Criteria

1. **Relevance / Contribution to EU policies**
   The relationship between the needs and problems in the European economy and society and the objectives of the intervention

2. **Effectiveness**
   How successful was the intervention in progressing towards the objectives?

3. **Efficiency**
   The relationship between the resources used by an intervention and the changes generated by the intervention

4. **Coherence**
   How well or not different actions work together?

5. **EU "added-value" of the intervention**
   Why the intervention is justified at EU level?
C1 Relevance: ... Both Flagships are demonstrating that they are contributing towards excellent science, although there are differences between the two...

...important contribution towards the Europe 2020 goals of delivering smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

... There is a strong justification therefore to continue funding the instrument at EU level.

C2 Effectiveness: While the Flagships demonstrate their effectiveness in delivering excellent science, their future effectiveness in supporting innovation still needs to be demonstrated.

...further improvements are desirable to both the strategic and operational management of the Flagships.

...need to be more positioned within an international context...

...more can be done to reduce the burden associated with a two-year funding cycle... and enable the Flagships to better respond to opportunities..
C3 Efficiency: ... share of management costs in the Graphene Flagship has been kept at a low level, and it is by 1.5% below the share of FP7 management costs...

...it is still too early in the history of the Flagships to be assessing efficiency in detail.

C4-5 Coherence and EU added-value: ...need for improved interaction across the H2020 programme...

...Linking research investments made through private and public funding across Europe with the two current Flagships is proving to be more difficult than expected.

...ensure commitment and buy-in from national authorities from the start.

...quest to find the simplest and most effective means of cooperation and coordination between the Flagships and national level activities
Flagships
Interim Evaluation Recommendations

- Strategic Relevance of the Flagship Instrument in Setting and Implementing the European Strategy for Research and Innovation
- Increase Clarity of Purpose and Differentiation between the Flagships and other Research Instruments
- Establish a Standard Means of Assessing the Flagships based on Key Performance Indicators that Fully Reflect Purpose
- Improve Operational Management to Enhance the Budget Flexibility and Reduce Administrative Overhead
Interim Evaluation Recommendations

- Improve Strategic Management to Enhance Openness of the Flagships towards Adopting New Directions
- Improve Coherence with other Horizon 2020 Activities
- Improve the Process of Selecting Flagships
- Improve Engagement with National Initiatives
What do we take from the report

✓ Short term take away:
  ✓ Improve set of KPIs in running flagships
  ✓ Providing flexibility & reducing overhead by adopting 2 years reporting cycle & moving to a 3 years SGA in WP2018-2020
  ✓ New directions adopted by the flagships as they progress
  ✓ Further stimulate awareness raising and communication across H2020 initiatives

✓ Longer term
  ✓ Endorsement for jointly preparing new flagships with Member States & with the community
  ✓ Reflecting on model for flagships in FP9
Some questions for discussion

What is the model for flagship for the next Framework Programme?

• How to combine progress towards a shared goal and flexibility over time in implementing a Flagship initiative?
• What is the role of national initiatives? What should be the partnering model?
  • Core project / partnering projects; Joint initiative: Public/Public partnership; EC only initiative...

How to select flagship for FP9?

• Jointly selected by EC & MS: e.g. embedded in FP9/SP text; Expert selection/ Competitive call; Other?
THANK YOU!